

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

(daress:	COMMISSIONER FOR FATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,372	12/29/2000	Jacob Christensen	42390P10205	1521
8791	7590 03/08/2006		EXAM	INER
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	HYUN, SOON D		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>∆</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/752,372	CHRISTENSEN, JACOB
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Soon D. Hyun	2661
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may i. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mitatute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	0 December 2005.	
·= ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-8,10-14,17-19,22-24,27,31-39</u> a	and 45-48 is/are pending in	the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) 3-8,10-14,17-19,22-24,27 and 31		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 45 and 47 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 46 and 48 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		···
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	en received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ilist of the certified copies n	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·

Application/Control Number: 09/752,372 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45 and 47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruckman (U.S. Patent No. 6,891,855) in view of Roy et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,243,359).

Bruckman discloses that a method to manage packet fragmentation, comprising:

determining an operating parameter for a packet, wherein the operating

parameter represents a priority level, i.e., a dynamic fragmenter (28 in FIG 1)

determines a priority level of a packet (col. 5., lines 6-7);

determining an operating status (active to fragment) to be set in accordance with the priority level, i.e., the dynamic fragmenter determines the fragmentation (active) based on a temporary fragment length when it receives a packet with low priority and the dynamic fragmenter determines the fragmentation (active) when delay of a packet with high priority is greater than the Max\_Wait (col. 5, lines 26-34);

Application/Control Number: 09/752,372 Page 3

Art Unit: 2661

performing packet fragmentation substantially in accordance with the operating status (col. 5, lines 12-25).

However, Bruckman does not teach that the fragment size decreases as the priority level increase or the fragment size increases as the priority level decreases as recited in claims, but the size of fragmentation of a low priority packet is determined based on Max\_Wait and the current data rate (col. 5, line 6-25), i.e., Bruckman does not teach multiple level of priority for the low priority.

Roy et al (Roy) teaches that packets are classified into a plurality of priority levels based on delay.

Those of skill in the art would have been motivated by Roy to classify the low priority packet into multiple priority levels by assigning different Max\_ Wait to the different priority levels to control network delay more efficiently.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate multiple priority levels for the low priority level to decrease the fragment size for higher priority level (more delay sensitive packets) with smaller Max\_Wait and increase the fragment size for lower priority level (less delay sensitive packet) assigning different with greater Max\_Wait to control network delay more efficiently.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-8, 10-14, 17-19, 22-24, 27, 31-39 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,372 Page 4

Art Unit: 2661

5. Claims 46 and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon D. Hyun whose telephone number is 571-272-3121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Hyun 03/05/2006

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER